WAC Initial Summary of Draft WOTUS Rule

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) have released a proposed rule to revise the definition of "waters of the United States" (WOTUS) for all Clean Water Act (CWA) programs. Despite the agencies' claims to the contrary, the definitional changes contained in the proposed WOTUS rule would significantly expand federal control of land and water resources across the Nation, triggering substantial additional permitting and regulatory requirements.

Key Provisions of the Proposed Rule

Although we are still reviewing the preamble to the proposed rule for major changes, the following outlines the key provisions of the regulatory text. Changes from the previous version leaked in November 2013 are indicated in parentheses.

	WOTU	JS Under the Proposed Rule
	1.	All waters currently used, used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including tidal waters (frequently referred to as traditional navigable waters (TNWs));
	2.	All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands;
	3.	The territorial seas;
	4.	All impoundments of waters identified in (1)-(3) above;
		☐ (Leaked version included impoundments of all waters of the U.S.)
	5.	All tributaries of waters identified in (1)-(4) above;
		☐ (Leaked version did not include tributaries of impoundments)
	6.	All waters, including wetlands, adjacent to a water identified in (1)-(5) above; and
	7.	On a case-specific basis, other waters, including wetlands, that alone or in combination
		with other similarly situated waters in the region have a significant nexus to a water
		identified in (1)-(3) above.
	Tribut	ary Definition
ŭ		Water with a bed and banks and ordinary high water mark which contributes flow
		directly or through other water bodies to waters in (1)-(4) above.
		☐ (Leaked version did not include tributaries of impoundments)
		Wetlands, lakes, and ponds can be tributaries (even if they lack a bed and banks or
		ordinary high water mark) if they contribute flow.
		☐ ("Lakes" and "ponds" were added since leaked version)
		Water does not lose its tributary status if there are man-made breaks (such as bridges,
		culverts, pipes, dams) so long as bed and bank and ordinary high water mark can be
		identified upstream of the break.
		[(Under leaked version, water had to have bed and bank upstream or downstream
		of break to maintain tributary status)
		A tributary can be natural, man-altered, or man-made and includes rivers, streams, lakes,
		impoundments, canals, and ditches (unless excluded). The proposed rule, for the first time ever, specifically defines ditches as jurisdictional
		tributaries (unless excluded, as discussed below) under all CWA programs. The
		inclusion of roadside, irrigation, and stormwater ditches will have huge practical
		consequences that have yet to be evaluated by the agencies.
		tonorqueness that have you to be evaluated by the agenties.
	Adjace	ent Waters Definition
		Adjacent waters, including wetlands, are jurisdictional. Bordering, contiguous, or
		neighboring waters separated from other WOTUS by dikes, or barriers are adjacent
		waters.

	shallow subsurface connection or confined surface hydrologic connection. (Leaked version included waters with a surface or shallow subsurface connection)
	Riparian areas are transitional areas between water and land where surface or
	subsurface hydrology influences the ecological process and plant community of the area Floodplain is an area bordering inland or coastal areas that is inundated during periods of moderate to high water flows. Proposed rule does not define flood interval, but leaves up to agencies' "best professional judgment."
Signifi	cant Nexus Definition
	Means water, including wetlands, either alone or in combination with other similarly
	situated waters on the region significantly affects water identified in (1)-(3) above.
Texton d	Other waters, including wetlands, are similarly situated when they perform similar functions and are located sufficiently close together so that they can be evaluated as a single landscape unit. Proposed rule does not define "single landscape unit."
	For an effect to be significant, it must be more than speculative or insubstantial. (This definition is the same as leaked version but order has been changed)
Exclus	ions in Proposed Rule
hanna	Waste treatment systems designed to meet the requirements of the CWA;
	Prior converted cropland;
	Ditches excavated wholly in uplands that drain only uplands and have less than perennial
	flow;
	☐ (Ditches that drain only non-jurisdictional waters are no longer excluded as they were in the leaked version)
	☐ (Leaked version excluded "no more than ephemeral flow" rather than "less than
	perennial flow")
	Ditches that do not contribute flow, either directly, or through another water, to a water
	identified in paragraphs (1)-(4) above;
	☐ (Ditches that contribute flow to impoundments are no longer excluded as they
	were in leaked version)
Valence of the second	Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to upland should application of irrigation
	water to that area cease;
	Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land and used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice
	growing;
No.	Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created by excavating and/or diking dry
_	land;
No.	Small ornamental waters created by excavating and/or diking dry land for primarily
	aesthetic reasons;
	Water-filled depressions from construction;
	Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface draining systems; and
	☐ (Leaked version included only groundwater drained through subsurface draining systems)
	Gullies, rills, and non-wetland swales.
	(Puddles eliminated from exclusion list)
	Although these features (certain ditches, groundwater, gullies, rills, and non-wetlands,
	etc.) are not WOTUS under the proposed rule, they can serve to establish a connection under the proposed rule (<i>e.g.</i> connection that demonstrates adjacency to jurisdictional or
	demonstrates that an "other water" has a significant nexus to a $(a)(1) - (3)$ waters).

Interpretative Rule Governing Exemptions for Farming, Ranching, and Forestry

The rule continues existing statutory and regulatory exemptions from Section 404 permitting requirements for normal farming, silviculture and ranching practices where these activities are part of an ongoing farming, ranching or forestry operation. In tandem with the proposed rule, the agencies have issued an "interpretive rule" that was not a part of the leaked version.

	•
	The interpretive rule is immediately effective and expands the list of existing agricultural exemptions to include an additional 53 activities that are exempt from permitting requirements so long as they are conducted consistent with Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) conservation practice standards.
	In addition, the exemptions apply only so long as the conservation activities are ongoing. They do not apply if there is a change of use. Indeed, once conservation activities are complete, the landowner will likely have features that will be higher quality and more likely to be considered waters of the U.S.
Transport.	EPA and the Corps will enter into a Memorandum of Agreement with the NRCS to develop and implement a process for identifying, reviewing, and updating NRCS agricultural conservation practices and activities that would qualify for the exemption.